



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 02/28/2003

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/518,338	03/03/2000	Eugene H. Cloud	303.663US1	5591
21186 75	590 02/28/2003			
SCHWEGMAN, LUNDBERG, WOESSNER & KLUTH, P.A.			EXAMINER	
P.O. BOX 2938 MINNEAPOLI	X 2938 APOLIS, MN 55402		NGUYEN, THAN VINH	
•			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2187	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Sc

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary						
		09/518,338	CLOUD, EUGENE H.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication ann	Than Nguyen	2187			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 D	December 2002				
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3)						
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-32 is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-32</u> is/are rejected.					
7)	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
	on Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
/,2	1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. & 110(a) (to a provisional application)						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.						
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)						
2) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal F	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/518,338 Page 2

Art Unit: 2187

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CAR 1.114

- 1. A request for continued examination under 37 CAR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CAR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CAR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CAR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CAR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 12/26/02 has been entered.
- 2. The amendment, filed 12/26/02, has been entered.
- 3. Claims 1-32 are pending.

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant argues that Dye does not teach using volatile main memory and the single chip feature. These elements are addressed in the rejection below.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 1-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dye (USP 6,145,069).

Application/Control Number: 09/518,338 Page 3

Art Unit: 2187

As to claims 1,2,4-12,18-26,29-32:

7. Dye teaches a flash memory system and its method of operation having processor (MPU 400; Figure 3) a main memory (flash memory array 100; Figure 3); a cache/static memory connected to the main memory (SRAM cache/buffer 160, Figure 3; 8/20-9/45); a compression (260; Figure 3) and decompression engine (280; Figure 3); an error detection and correction engine (220; Figure 3); and I/O buffer (SRAM cache/buffer 160 buffers input from bus 118).

Although Dye uses flash memory instead of volatile memory, it is common knowledge that volatile memory (RAM/DRAM) can be substituted for nonvolatile memory when it is not desired/required to retain data upon power loss and when cost is an issue because flash memories are more expensive than RAM/DRAMs (this is recognized by Dye; 1/30-37). In fact, common storage systems use DRAM because flash memory is cost prohibited. Flash memory is mainly used where data non-volatility is required by the system (these systems are much more expensive). Thus, it would have been obvious to substitute nonvolatile memory for the flash memory of Dye when data non-volatility and memory cost are not required by the system.

Dye does not specifically teach the main memory, buffer, cache memory, and compression and decompression engine are integrated in a single chip. It has been held that to make integral is not generally given patentable weight. Note In re Larson 144 USPQ 347 (CCPA1965).

Furthermore In re Tomoyuki Kohno 157 USPQ 275 (CCPA 1968) states that to integrate electrical components onto a unitary, one piece structure (base plate -- or circuit board) would be obvious. It is also well-known in the arts to integrate components onto a single chip to decrease

Application/Control Number: 09/518,338

Art Unit: 2187

distance between elements and allows for faster access, decreasing the size of the overall system space and power requirements. Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to integrate the main memory, buffer, cache memory, and compression and decompression engine on a single chip to provide for a faster,

Page 4

As to claim 3:

smaller, and less expensive system.

8. Dye does not specifically teach integrating everything in the same chip. It is well-known in the art to integrate multiple devices onto a single chip to save space and costs. Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skills in the art at the time of the invention to integrate the memory device onto a single chip to save space and manufacturing costs. Furthermore In re

Tomoyuki Kohno 157 USPQ 275 (CCPA 1968) states that to integrate electrical components onto a unitary, one piece structure (base plate -- or circuit board) would be obvious. It is also well-known in the arts to integrate components onto a single chip to decrease distance between elements and allows for faster access, decreasing the size of the overall system space and power requirements.

As to claims 15-17:

9. Dye teaches a flash memory system and its method of operation having processor (MPU 400; Figure 3) a main memory (flash memory array 100; Figure 3); a cache memory connected to the main memory (SRAM cache/buffer 160, Figure 3; 8/20-9/45); a compression (260; Figure 3)

Application/Control Number: 09/518,338 Page 5

Art Unit: 2187

and decompression engine (280; Figure 3); an error detection and correction engine (220; Figure 3); and I/O buffer (SRAM cache/buffer 160 buffers input from bus 118).

Although Dye uses flash memory instead of volatile memory, it is common knowledge that volatile memory (RAM/DRAM) can be substituted for nonvolatile memory when it is not desired/required to retain data upon power loss and when cost is an issue because flash memories are more expensive than RAM/DRAMs (this is recognized by Dye; 1/30-37). In fact, common storage systems use DRAM because flash memory is cost prohibited. Flash memory is mainly used where data non-volatility is required by the system (these systems are much more expensive). Thus, it would have been obvious to substitute nonvolatile memory for the flash memory of Dye when data non-volatility and memory cost are not required by the system.

Dye does not specifically teach integrating every thing in the same chip. It is well-known in the art to integrate multiple devices onto a single chip to save space and costs. Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skills in the art at the time of the invention to integrate the memory device onto a single chip to save space and manufacturing costs. Furthermore In re

Tomoyuki Kohno 157 USPQ 275 (CCPA 1968) states that to integrate electrical components onto a unitary, one piece structure (base plate -- or circuit board) would be obvious. It is also well-known in the arts to integrate components onto a single chip to decrease distance between elements and allows for faster access, decreasing the size of the overall system space and power requirements.

As to claims 13,14,27,28:

Application/Control Number: 09/518,338

Art Unit: 2187

10. Dye does not specifically teach having a graphic/video control card connected to the

memory device. However, he does suggests the use of such graphic device because he indicated

Page 6

that the data compression/decompression processor could be use for graphical compression and

decompression. Thus, this suggests that the data input to the compression/decompression engine

is a graphical device. Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skills in the art

at the time of the invention to use advantageously use Dyes invention to compress and

decompress data from a graphic device/control card, as suggested by Dye.

Conclusion

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to Than Nguyen whose telephone number is (703) 305-3866. The examiner

can normally be reached on M-F from 8:00 a.m. to 3:00 p.m. EST.

12. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be

directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-9600.

13. The fax phone number for Art Unit 2187 is 703-308-9051 or 703-308-9052.

Than Nguyen

February 24, 2003